



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

it in the patentee's claims, not in the earlier knowledge and in a later device, there is infringement.

There is not the same opportunity for close reasoning and accurate statement in the other parts of the book as there is in the treatment of invention, but always the subject is discussed with sense and discrimination and with a clarity which, in law books, and particularly in books on this subject, is as refreshing as it is uncommon.

This book is readable. There is a conspicuous absence of the trade patter—the argot of the so-called patent lawyer, which seems to be the usual thing in most discussions of patent questions, as if the subject itself were not sufficiently esoteric, but needs, in addition, a jargon of its own to make it the more obscure. One does not have to learn a new language to read this book. It is clearly expressed in good English. It has none of the professional cant of the practitioner whose door is adorned with the sign, “Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights, and Corporations.” And it is free from the curious and irritating mannerisms which deface some books on patent law, such as Walker's affection for the word “relevant,” which is a good enough word, but a book which uses it to the exclusion of others equally good is apt to be tiresome.

Mr. Waite's book contains one glaring mistake. The preface states:

“Although the book is as complete in its field and as thorough as I could make it, it is written primarily for others than patent practitioners. They, presumably, being already trained specialists in this subject, have no longer any need for discussion and exposition of principles.”

There never was a greater error than the implication which this paragraph contains. The presumption that patent practitioners are trained specialists who have no need for a discussion and exposition of principles is amiable but mistaken. The average patent practitioner is usually a person who has grafted a limited specialty on an imperfect education, who cultivates a constricted outlook, an uncouth speech and a mysterious manner. No one needs an introduction to principles more seriously than he, and a study of this book would do him a world of good.

Chicago, Ill.

EDWARD S. ROGERS.

CONTRACTS IN ENGINEERING, by James Irwin Tucker, B.S., LL.B., Director of the School of Civil Engineering, University of Oklahoma. 2d Ed. New York, 1920. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Pp. xii, 331

This single volume is not in any sense a complete presentation of law on any subject, of course, but is an effort to give to engineers “substantial information upon many legal matters.” It is in form a text-book. Each general topic is followed by pages of questions upon its subject matter. The work covers not only general principles of contracts but also “Agency, Tort and Independent Contractor,” “Real Property,” “Contracts of Association,” “Contracts of Sale and Transportation,” and “Negotiable Paper.” An “Appendix” carries miscellaneous juristic information. As it is a condensed

presentation of general rules and principles, rather than an elucidation of complex problems, the author very properly omits, as a rule, references to authority.

The reviewer confesses to a prejudice against works of this general type which purport to give some knowledge of *law*. Experience in practice has not led him to believe that a little knowledge of law may not be dangerous, even though its possessor be warned, as the author does warn his readers, that the services of legal counsel cannot completely be dispensed with. Whenever the author discusses abstract principles of law, rather than concrete applications, it is well done. Nevertheless, the reviewer has a feeling that he understood it all only because he was already conversant with the matter. He suspects that four out of five laymen, after studying the section on "consideration," would fail to realize that while a detriment suffered in reciprocation of a promise is consideration for it, detriment suffered in mere reliance upon the promise is not. This is no criticism of the author's presentation, but merely comment upon the inherent defects of any such book.

But, after all, the man who draws his own contracts, like "the jolly testator who writes his own will," makes business for lawyers more because he does not anticipate the possibilities for dispute, or, having anticipated them, does not express himself definitely in regard to them, than because he does not understand the principles of law. To quote from the book, "Attorneys say that probably seventy-five per cent of the litigation in court at the present moment is due to the fact that some one, either a lawyer or a layman, has at some stage of the proceedings failed to state with exactness and clarity just what was intended in a writing or in an oral declaration." To correct this source of litigation and trouble is essentially, one may deduce, the aim of the book. To this end, one of the best chapters in it, from the point of view of a lawyer, is the one entitled "Engineering Contract Writing." The author begins by scotching the common idea that a contract must be framed in technical legal language to be effective. Undoubtedly, much uncertainty in laymen's contracts is due to the use of misunderstood technical terms. Incidentally, the same thing might be said, with considerable accuracy, of lawyers' contracts. Having thus urged the use of plain and definite English, the chapter then suggests a very comprehensive list of possible sources of dispute in engineering contracts—e. g., the insufficiency of specifications, time elements, methods of measurement and valuation, extras, risks, and the like.

It is in the suggestion of such non-legal points as these that the book would seem to be most valuable. Nevertheless, its suggestions as to legal rules are not without real worth. That one is not legally safe in believing another's statements about a third person seems mere common sense. But it is worth the time of reading to have it brought home that A cannot make C pay for work which A did on orders from B, merely because B himself stated that he was the agent of C.

This type of information, also, is clearly and inclusively presented, and the book as a whole is the best one of its type that the reviewer knows of.

JOHN BARKER WAITE.